•			$\nu$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/780,036	KIMMEL ET AL.	
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charles Goodman	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RE of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this or other appropriate communica GHTS. This application is subje	application. If not include tion will be mailed in due	ed course. <b>THIS</b>
1. $\boxtimes$ This communication is responsive to <u>IDS filed on 2/17/04</u> .			
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-12.			
3. The drawings filed on 17 February 2004 are accepted by the	ne Examiner.		
<ul> <li>4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unence a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>	been received. been received in Application No	•	tion from the
* Certified copies not received:			
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" on noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	of this communication to file a re ENT of this application.	oly complying with the red	quirements
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submi	itted. Note the attached EXAMIN is reason(s) why the oath or decl	ER'S AMENDMENT or N aration is deficient.	OTICE OF
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") mus	t be submitted.	•	
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers		(O-948) attached	
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date			
(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	Amendment / Comment or in th	e Office action of	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the			back) of
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depos attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT F			Note the
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5 ☐ Notice of Informa	al Patent Application (PT0	D-152)
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413),	- ··/
3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No./Mail Date 2/17/04	Paper No./Mail 8), 7. ☐ Examiner's Ame	Date ndment/Comment	
4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	8. X Examiner's State	ement of Reasons for Allo	wance
of Biological Material	9.		
		Jarle Sood	
		MARLES GOOD	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-37 (Rev. 1-04)

Application/Control Number: 10/780,036

Art Unit: 3724

## **REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

1. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The Prior Art of Record do not anticipate nor do they reasonably suggest the compliant workholder as claimed in claims 1, 5, and 9. The closest prior art, Reynolds (US 2,612,914) teaches a safety device for woodworking machines comprising many of the claimed elements except for that Reynolds lacks the means for urging and a resilient contact element. The contact element (e.g. 22) is resilient to the extent that this element is spring biased by the springs (38). However, this interpretation results in Reynolds lacking a "means for urging" as claimed. On the other hand, the springs may be construed as the "means for urging", but that results in the contact element not being resilient since it is made of steel. It may be argued that any material exhibits a certain degree of "resiliency". But in the context of the claims at bar, a steel or sturdy contact element is outside the bounds of the claims. Furthermore, although at first glance it may appear that another workholder is obvious, the teaching or suggestion would only stem Applicant's prior patent. Thus, it is believed that the claimed invention with the lacking features in combination with the other recited elements are allowable over the prior art of record.

It is noted that with respect to the potential issue of indefiniteness with respect to the separate means for extending and retracting (e.g. claim 1), the Examiner relies on Applicant's prior arguments in the parent application wherein it was the Appplicant's position that the double acting piston and equivalents thereof as disclosed in the specification is the separate means.

Application/Control Number: 10/780,036

Art Unit: 3724

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Goodman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4508. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday between 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap, can be reached on (571) 272-4514. In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

Charles Goodman Primary Examiner

AU 3724

MARLES GOOD

cg // June 27, 2005